Irving Independent School District Townsell Elementary School 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: B

Distinction Designations:

Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth



Mission Statement

At Townsell, we will ensure ALL students are provided social and academic support to learn at high levels in a safe environment focused on future-readiness.

Vision

We must create equitable learning experiences that empower and inspire ALL learners to be leaders.

School Motto

Honor the HOWL

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	
Demographics	
Student Learning	(
School Processes & Programs	10
Perceptions	1
Priority Problem Statements	1.
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	1.
Goals	1
Goal 1: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 26.7% to 39% by 2024.	1
Goal 2: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 20.4% to 49% by 2024.	2
Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will increase overall CCMR Meets from 60% to 75% by 2024.	2
Goal 4: In Irving ISD, we will increase parent and community engagement in the city of Irving.	3
Goal 5: In Irving ISD, we will provide state-of-the-art facilities that rethinks the present design of education for all students.	3.
State Compensatory	3
Budget for Townsell Elementary School	3
Personnel for Townsell Elementary School	3
Title I	3
1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)	4
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
2. Campus Improvement Plan	4
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	4
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	4
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	4
3. Annual Evaluation	4
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	4
4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)	4
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	4
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	4
5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	4
5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy	4
Title I Personnel	4
2022-2023 Campus Improvement Team	4
Campus Funding Summary	4

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Campus Demographics

- African American = 28%
- American Indian/Alaskan Native = 0.8%
- Asian = 14%
- Hispanic = 53%
- Two or More = 1%
- White, not of Hispanic Origin = 3%
- Economically Disadvantaged = 87.9%
- Gifted/Talented = 16%
- Special Education = 10%
- Limited English Proficient 49%
- At Risk= 64%
- Grades Pre-K 5th Grade
- 841 Students
- 2021-2022 Attendance Rate = 91.4%
- 2021-2022 Mobility Rate = 19.9%
- 22:1 Student: Teacher ratio

----- Campus -----

Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	77.4	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	63.4	82.0%	67.9%	64.3%
Teachers	53.8	69.5%	51.5%	49.6%
Professional Support	6.6	8.6%	11.5%	10.6%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	3.0	3.9%	2.8%	3.0%
Educational Aides:	14.0	18.0%	12.7%	10.6%
Librarians and Counselors (Headcount):				
Full-time Librarians	1.0	n/a	30.0	4,290.0
Part-time Librarians	0.0	n/a	6.0	582.0
Full-time Counselors	2.0	n/a	94.0	13,211.0
Part-time Counselors	0.0	n/a	6.0	1,126.0
Total Minority Staff:	44.8	57.9%	61.0%	51.5%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	12.5	23.2%	17.2%	11.1%
Hispanic	16.7	31.0%	31.1%	28.4%
White	22.7	42.3%	46.1%	56.9%
American Indian	0.0	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Asian	0.9	1.7%	3.0%	1.8%
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Two or More Races	1.0	1.9%	2.3%	1.2%
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	6.9	12.8%	24.3%	23.8%
Females	46.9	87.2%	75.7%	76.2%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%
Bachelors	43.0	80.0%	69.2%	73.0%
Masters	10.7	20.0%	29.5%	25.0%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%

	Campus	S		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	7.5	13.9%	6.8%	6.7%
1-5 Years Experience	19.7	36.7%	32.5%	27.8%
6-10 Years Experience	10.7	20.0%	23.7%	20.3%
11-20 Years Experience	13.8	25.7%	27.2%	29.1%
21-30 Years Experience	1.0	1.9%	8.2%	13.0%
Over 30 Years Experience	1.0	1.9%	1.7%	3.1%
Number of Students per Teacher	15.4	n/a	14.9	14.5

Demographics Strengths

Townsell Elementary is located in one of the most diverse zip codes in the United States, allowing students to experience learning and collaboration in a culturally diverse environment. Numerous multicultural celebrations throughout the year instill acceptance and inclusion practices within our campus systems and processes. Townsell has been acknowledged for our equitable processes when identifying scholars in special programs. There are systems in place to support our scholars that need additional resources outside of school. Through community partnerships, students receive assistance with purchasing uniforms, weekend meals, and health and wellness facilities. Townsell has a student-led community food pantry housed on our campus. There is a culture of service and community that supports ALL scholars as they strive for excellence.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause:** The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our Emergent Bilingual and English language learners are not meeting their expected learning targets. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of resources for EB/EL students that speak languages other than Spanish.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause:** There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

STAAR Performance	Reading	Mathematics	Science	Social Studies	Totals	Percentages
Total Tests	332	332	93	-	757	
Approaches GL or Above	207	173	37	-	417	55%
Meets GL or Above	139	78	15	-	232	31%
Masters GL	63	39	5	-	107	14%
Total Percentage Points						100%
Component Score						33

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current)	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled
All Subjects															
Percent of Tests															
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	55%	50%	55%	60%	82%	72%	13%	58%	53%	56%	59%	27%	47%	57%	50%
At Meets GL Standard or Above	31%	29%	27%	40%	55%	52%	0%	26%	28%	30%	33%	17%	33%	32%	28%
At Masters GL Standard	14%	13%	13%	23%	9%	27%	0%	0%	13%	13%	14%	6%	7%	15%	12%
Number of Tests															
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	417	114	207	24	9	51	1	11	359	192	221	23	7	292	125
At Meets GL Standard or Above	232	67	101	16	6	37	0	5	194	102	122	15	5	162	70
At Masters GL Standard	107	30	48	9	1	19	0	0	87	44	51	5	1	78	29
Total Tests	757	230	378	40	11	71	8	19	681	342	373	86	15	509	248
Participation															
% participation 2020-21	96%	92%	98%	98%	95%	97%	-	100%	96%	98%	98%	85%	73%	97%	95%
Townsell Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning.com	n						7 of 44						Oc		ampus #123 2 10:04 AM

		All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current)	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)	Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled
g	% participation 2021-22	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I	ELA/Reading															
I	Percent of Tests															
	At Approaches GL Standard or Above	62%	57%	63%	65%	80%	75%	*	67%	60%	64%	66%	32%	57%	66%	55%
	At Meets GL Standard or Above	42%	41%	39%	47%	80%	59%	*	33%	39%	41%	44%	22%	43%	44%	36%
I	At Masters GL Standard	19%	19%	18%	24%	20%	28%	*	0%	17%	18%	19%	8%	0%	21%	14%
1	Number of Tests															
	At Approaches GL Standard or Above	207	58	103	11	**	24	*	6	180	96	109	12	4	149	58
	At Meets GL Standard or Above	139	41	64	8	**	19	*	3	117	62	73	8	3	101	38
I	At Masters GL Standard	63	19	30	4	**	9	*	0	52	27	31	3	0	48	15
-	Total Tests	332	101	164	17	**	32	*	9	299	151	165	37	7	227	105
I	Participation															
ģ	% participation 2020-21	96%	93%	98%	100%	100%	98%	-	100%	96%	98%	98%	83%	*	97%	96%
Q	% participation 2021-22	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1	Mathematics															
I	Percent of Tests															
	At Approaches GL Standard or Above	52%	49%	52%	53%	80%	69%	*	44%	49%	54%	58%	19%	29%	55%	47%
	At Meets GL Standard or Above	23%	22%	20%	41%	40%	44%	*	11%	21%	25%	27%	14%	14%	24%	23%
I	At Masters GL Standard	12%	10%	10%	24%	0%	25%	*	0%	10%	11%	12%	5%	14%	12%	11%
1	Number of Tests															
	At Approaches GL Standard or Above	173	49	85	9	**	22	*	4	148	82	95	7	2	124	49
	At Meets GL Standard or Above	78	22	32	7	**	14	*	1	64	37	44	5	1	54	24
I	At Masters GL Standard	39	10	17	4	**	8	*	0	30	16	19	2	1	27	12
-	Total Tests	332	101	164	17	**	32	*	9	299	151	165	37	7	227	105

Townsell Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning.com Campus #123 October 22, 2022 10:04 AM

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	or More Races	Econ Disadv	EB/EL (Current)	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled
Participation															
% participation 2020-21	96%	94%	96%	100%	89%	96%	-	100%	95%	96%	96%	83%	*	96%	95%
% participation 2021-22	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Science															
Percent of Tests															
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	40%	25%	38%	67%	*	71%	-	*	37%	35%	40%	33%	*	35%	47%
At Meets GL Standard or Above	16%	14%	10%	17%	*	57%	-	*	16%	8%	12%	17%	*	13%	21%
At Masters GL Standard	5%	4%	2%	17%	*	29%	-	*	6%	3%	2%	0%	*	5%	5%
Number of Tests															
At Approaches GL Standard or Above	37	7	19	4	*	5	-	*	31	14	17	4	*	19	18
At Meets GL Standard or Above	15	4	5	1	*	4	-	*	13	3	5	2	*	7	8
At Masters GL Standard	5	1	1	1	*	2	-	*	5	1	1	0	*	3	2
Total Tests	93	28	50	6	*	7	-	*	83	40	43	12	*	55	38
Participation															
% participation 2020-21	96%	91%	100%	83%	*	94%	-	*	95%	100%	100%	*	*	98%	92%
% participation 2021-22	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%

Student Learning Strengths

[•] Earned a distinction for Top 25 Percent: Comparative Academic Growth Townsell Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning.com

- The campus maintained a TEA rating "B" rating
- Increased from an overall score of 80 to an 85
- Academic Growth Score of 86

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 21-22 Townsell Reading scores indicate that 42% of students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 19% of students scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause:** There is a need for targeted and explicit reading instruction based on the needs of the students.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 21-22 Townsell science scores indicate that 16% of students scored "meets grade level or above" and 5% of students scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause:** There is a need for explicit vocabulary and reading instruction embedded in Science daily lessons.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 21-22 Townsell Math scores indicate that 23% of all students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 12% scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause:** There is a need for more concrete modeling to strengthen basic math and reasoning skills for all students.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Our Emergent Bilingual and English language learners are not meeting their expected learning targets. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of resources for EB/EL students that speak languages other than Spanish.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause:** The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause:** There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

- Highly qualified teachers are recruited and supported through campus and district mentorship programs.
- Teachers are assigned roles that highlight their strengths
- Data-informed decisions are made to drive professional development
- Stakeholder's input is solicited throughout the year
- One-Way Dual Language Program
- GT Program
- 2nd Year of AVID Elementary Implementation
- 1-to-1 technology integration
- Capturing Kids Heart and CHAMPS implemented to support a positive student culture.
- Master schedule created around student needs
- Weekly PLC meetings
- Tier 3 Intervention time built into the master schedule

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Weekly focused walkthroughs are conducted to evaluate lesson alignment and engagement

Assessment data is used to drive classroom instruction

Faculty meetings will be focused on providing effective tier I best practices.

There is a culture of future readiness for all students supported by the implementation of AVID Elementary

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause:** There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our Emergent Bilingual and English language learners are not meeting their expected learning targets. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of resources for EB/EL students that speak languages other than Spanish.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause:** The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Mission - At Townsell, we will ensure ALL students are provided social and academic support to learn at high levels in a safe environment focused on future readiness.

<u>Vision - We must create equitable learning experiences that empower and inspire ALL learners to be leaders.</u>

Townsell is currently in the process of becoming an AVID Certified Elementary Campus. Implementation began in 2021-22.

Academy 4 and Leaders 5 Community Partnership Programs provided mentors for all fourth and fifth-grade students.

Capturing Kids Hearts is used to build relational capacity across the campus and support scholars' and staff's social and emotional needs.

The House Systems is used to promote a sense of community and connection across the campus.

Perceptions Strengths

At Townsell Elementary, all teachers believe each scholar will achieve personal and academic success in a cutting-edge environment. Each scholar will continuously develop and exemplify a positive character in all aspects of life. Each scholar will adapt and thrive in a diverse and global community.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Staff retention rates have lowered, and teacher absences continue to be consistently high. **Root Cause:** We lack a systematic approach to address the increased needs of our campus teachers.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 2: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps.

Root Cause 2: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%.

Root Cause 1: There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: 21-22 Townsell Reading scores indicate that 42% of students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 19% of students scored at "masters grade level or above".

Root Cause 4: There is a need for targeted and explicit reading instruction based on the needs of the students.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Staff retention rates have lowered, and teacher absences continue to be consistently high.

Root Cause 3: We lack a systematic approach to address the increased needs of our campus teachers.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Our Emergent Bilingual and English language learners are not meeting their expected learning targets.

Root Cause 7: There is a lack of resources for EB/EL students that speak languages other than Spanish.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: 21-22 Townsell science scores indicate that 16% of students scored "meets grade level or above" and 5% of students scored at "masters grade level or above".

Root Cause 5: There is a need for explicit vocabulary and reading instruction embedded in Science daily lessons.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: 21-22 Townsell Math scores indicate that 23% of all students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 12% scored at "masters grade level or above".

Root Cause 6: There is a need for more concrete modeling to strengthen basic math and reasoning skills for all students.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- · Campus leadership data
- · Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data

- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 26.7% to 39% by 2024.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of PK students who are Proficient on all 5 (English/Spanish combined) Circle indicators by at least 15% by May 2023.

Indicators: Rapid Letter Naming, Rapid Vocabulary, Math, Social-Emotional, Early Writing skills

Evaluation Data Sources: Circle Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Teachers will create common assessments and track data measure mastery and develop prevention and		Formative		Summative
intervention opportunities as needed.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will accelerate pre-kindergarten students' early literacy and self-regulation skills to create a stronger foundation for learning in the future grades.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kinder Teachers				
Interventionist				
Academic Specialist				
Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	ı	<u>. I</u>

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 26.7% to 39% by 2024.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of PK students who are Proficient on Phonological Awareness in the Circle assessment (English/Spanish combined) from 66.5% to 77% by May 2023.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Circle Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will accelerate pre-kindergarten students' early literacy and self-regulation skills to create a stronger		Formative		Summative
foundation for learning in the future grades. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will accelerate pre-kindergarten students' early literacy and self-regulation skills to create a stronger foundation for learning in the future grades. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Pre-Kinder Teachers Interventionist Academic Specialist Administrators Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	•

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 26.7% to 39% by 2024.

Performance Objective 3: Increase the percentage of 3rd - 5th grade students who Meet or Exceed expected growth on Reading MAP Growth (English/Spanish combined) from 52.9% to 68% by May 2023.

Increase the percentage of African American students (the student group that is most marginalized by instruction on our campus i.e. gender, race, program, other) from 54.2% to 60% by May 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP results

STAAR results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will embed writing in all content areas and highlight students' writing samples weekly on the campus		Formative		Summative
WOW (Writing on Wednesdays) wall. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase meets performance by 15% on STAAR Reading by May 2022. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Pre-K - 5th grade Core Teachers Instructional Leadership Team Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Supplies - 199 - General Funds - \$2,000	Nov	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement small group reading and math lessons daily with fidelity using formative assessment		Formative		Summative
data to target areas of opportunity for all students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores in assessments. This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district common assessments.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Interventionists Teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 21-22 Townsell Reading scores indicate that 42% of students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 19% of students scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause**: There is a need for targeted and explicit reading instruction based on the needs of the students.

Goal 1: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 26.7% to 39% by 2024.

Performance Objective 4: Townsell Elementary will promote early Reading and Literacy skills in grades kindergarten through third. This will foster a strong reading foundation and close achievement gaps for all students. For the 2022-2023 school year the following goals will be achieved by the end of the school year:

The percent of Kinder students "on track" by mCLASS will increase from 41% to 61% by June 2023

The percent of First grade students "on track" on mCLASS will increase from 53% to 68% by June 2023

The percent of Second grade students "on track" on mCLASS will increase from 52% to 67% by June 2023

The percent of Third grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 29% to 44% by 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Assessment Data

NWEA MAP Reading Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will embed writing in all content areas and highlight students' writing samples weekly on the campus		Formative		Summative
WOW (Writing on Wednesdays) wall. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase meets performance by 10% on STAAR Reading by May 2021. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Pre-K - 5th grade Core Teachers Instructional Leadership Team	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Instructional supplies and displays - 199 - General Funds - \$2,000				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement guided reading and math groups daily with fidelity using formative assessment data to		Summative		
target areas of opportunity for all students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores in assessments. This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district				
common assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Interventionists				
Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3				
Funding Sources: Progress Learning and Instructional Supplies - 199 - General Funds - \$5,500				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	I	

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 21-22 Townsell Reading scores indicate that 42% of students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 19% of students scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause**: There is a need for targeted and explicit reading instruction based on the needs of the students.

Problem Statement 3: 21-22 Townsell Math scores indicate that 23% of all students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 12% scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root** Cause: There is a need for more concrete modeling to strengthen basic math and reasoning skills for all students.

Goal 2: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 20.4% to 49% by 2024.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of Kindergarten-Grade 2 students who Meet or Exceed expected growth on Math MAP Growth (English/Spanish combined) from 40.9% to 60.9% by May 2023.

Increase the percentage of African American students from 53.7% to 63%% by May 2023.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA data Campus Common Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implementation of the CRA (concrete - representational - abstract) lesson model for math instruction.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase scores on math district common assessment, state assessments, and MAP by at least 10%	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, interventionists, administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				
Funding Sources: Math manipulatives - 199 - General Funds - \$5,000				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement guided reading and math groups daily with fidelity using formative assessment data to	Formative			Summative
target areas of opportunity for all students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores in assessments.	1,0,		1191	
This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district common				
assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Interventionists				
Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 3				
Funding Sources: Progress Learning and Instructional Materials - 199 - General Funds - \$5,500				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	<u> </u>	I

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 21-22 Townsell Reading scores indicate that 42% of students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 19% of students scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root Cause**: There is a need for targeted and explicit reading instruction based on the needs of the students.

Problem Statement 3: 21-22 Townsell Math scores indicate that 23% of all students scored at "meets grade level or above" and 12% scored at "masters grade level or above". **Root** Cause: There is a need for more concrete modeling to strengthen basic math and reasoning skills for all students.

Goal 2: In Irving ISD, we will increase the percentage of 3rd grade students who score at meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 20.4% to 49% by 2024.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of 3rd - 5th grade students who Meet or Exceed expected growth on Math MAP Growth (English/Spanish combined) from 52% to 67% by May 2023.

Increase the percentage of African American students from 50% to 60% by May 2023.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Assessment Data

DCA Data

NWEA MAP Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use data from campus and district formative assessments to measure mastery and develop		Summative		
prevention and intervention opportunities as needed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores in assessments. This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district common assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Interventionists Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy	Nov	Feb Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Implementation of MTSS to provide targeted academic and behavior interventions to all tier 2 and 3 students		Summative		
daily utilizing research-based math and reading intervention strategies and programs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show at least one year of growth in reading levels and reduce the number of tier 3 students by at least 10% by May 2023.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Interventionist, Administrators, and Instructional Leadership Team				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 6 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: Instructional Materials and Professional Development - 199 - General Funds - \$10,000				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue	•	,

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 3: The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause**: There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause**: There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: The special education population continues to grow each year by nearly 1%. **Root Cause**: There is a need for a better MTSS process that is rooted in evidence-based interventions.

Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will increase overall CCMR Meets from 60% to 75% by 2024.

Performance Objective 1: By 2023, Townsell Elementary will be the first certified AVID Elementary campus in Irving ISD.

Evaluation Data Sources: AVID CCI Report Certification of AVID-Trained Teachers

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Implement focused note-taking system to assist students with organizing and accessing their learning.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students enrolled in advanced courses when transitioning to secondary. Streamline and better prepare elementary students for the transition to middle school. Increase student achievement and performance level on state assessments.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, AVID Site Coordinator, Campus AVID Site Team				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 3 Funding Sources: Instructional Materials for Organization, Reading, and Writing - 211 - Title I-A - \$10,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will receive targeted professional development focused on embedding WICOR (writing - inquiry -		Formative		Summative
collaboration - organization - reading) into their daily lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building capacity in all teachers to provide strong tier one instruction for	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Interventionist Academic Specialist 3rd - 5th grade Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will increase overall CCMR Meets from 60% to 75% by 2024.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of 3-5 grade students scoring at MEETS or above on STAAR Reading from 38.5% to 53.5% by May 2023.

Increase the percentage of African American and English Language Learner students from 36.7% to 46% by May 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Data STAAR Assessment Data

TELPAS Assessment Data

District Common Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Focus on DOK levels of questioning, critical thinking, and alignment to state standards.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on state readiness standards and increase performance on problem-solving.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, interventionist, and administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Conduct vertical alignment meetings and instructional walks every six weeks to discuss gap-causing TEKS,	Form			Summative
common language, and appropriate re-teaching. Strategies will be explored that differentiate for scholars receiving specialized services.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement of specialized populations; LEP, Special Education, and African-American students. Students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores from campus and district common assessments. This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district common assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Interventionist, Specialist, Administrators Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: In Irving ISD, we will increase overall CCMR Meets from 60% to 75% by 2024.

Performance Objective 3: Increase the percentage of 3-5 grade students scoring at MEETS or above on STAAR Math from 21.4% to 36.4% by May 2023.

Increase the percentage of Hispanic students from 18.4% to 28% by May 2023.

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Assessment Data

STAAR Assessment Data

District Common Assessment Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Focus on DOK levels of questioning, critical thinking, and alignment to state standards.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on state readiness standards and increase performance on problem-solving.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, interventionist, and administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
- Targeted Support Strategy					

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Conduct vertical alignment meetings and instructional walks every six weeks to discuss gap-causing TEKS,		Summative		
common language, and appropriate re-teaching. Strategies will be explored that differentiate for scholars receiving specialized services.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement of specialized populations; LEP, Special Education, and African-American students. Students will improve reading, math, writing, and science scores from campus and district common assessments. This will be measured by data collected from walkthroughs, student work samples, and campus and district common assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Interventionist, Specialist, Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 4: In Irving ISD, we will increase parent and community engagement in the city of Irving.

Performance Objective 1: Decrease the number and percentage of students who are chronically absent from 5.9% to 2% by May 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Attendance Reports

District Attendance Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Monitor attendance weekly and provide incentives for students who have perfect attendance.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students' attendance will be at or above 97% for the year.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administrators, Counselors			-		
Title I:					
2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
- Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 3					
Funding Sources: Incentives and celebrations - 199 - General Funds - \$5,000					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 2: Continue to work cooperatively with the campus attendance committee, campus administrators, and district		Formative		Summative	
attendance administrators to improve student attendance.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of absent or truant students will decrease from the previous	1101	100	7101	June	
year.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Counselors, and Teachers					
Title I:					
2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
- Targeted Support Strategy					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Goal 4: In Irving ISD, we will increase parent and community engagement in the city of Irving.

Performance Objective 2: Host 3 family engagement events with at least 20% of families in attendance by May 2023

Evaluation Data Sources: Event Sign In Sheets

Campus Event Calendar Parent Feedback Surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Townsell will host a Math, Science, and Literacy Night event partnered with our AVID Family Workshops.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased family engagement and parental support provided to increase student achievement and community relationships.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Teachers				
AVID Site Team				
Academic Specialist				
Interventionists				
Title I:				
2.6, 4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 5: In Irving ISD, we will provide state-of-the-art facilities that rethinks the present design of education for all students.

Performance Objective 1: Retaining 98% of all staff performing at proficient or high in all domains of their T-TESS evaluation tool by June 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: T-TESS Evaluation Data

Walkthrough Data Campus Culture Surveys Resignation reason data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus culture and climate survey will be provided at 45 days, 90 days, and 180 days of instruction to prioritize campus needs and acknowledge growth. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve morale and overall campus culture for students and teachers. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, teachers, and support staff		Formative		
		Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Problem Statements: Perceptions 1 Funding Sources: Professional Development and Team Building - 199 - General Funds - \$10,000				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: Staff retention rates have lowered, and teacher absences continue to be consistently high. **Root Cause**: We lack a systematic approach to address the increased needs of our campus teachers.

Goal 5: In Irving ISD, we will provide state-of-the-art facilities that rethinks the present design of education for all students.

Performance Objective 2: Establish a Threat Assessment Team and a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Campus Committee that meets 4 times a year to establish cultural intelligence goals for the campus and monitor progress on goals.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documented Threat Assessment Teams

DEI Committee Meeting Agendas and Minutes

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Conduct 4 professional development sessions that focus on enhancing our cultural intelligence and increasing		Formative		
safety measures.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create a more structured and safe learning environment for students and staff.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, counselors, and teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: In Irving ISD, we will provide state-of-the-art facilities that rethinks the present design of education for all students.

Performance Objective 3: Developing a campus culture that promotes college, career, and service readiness to ensure teachers and students are focusing on academic and social skills that are necessary for future readiness.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Culture Surveys

District Culture Surveys AVID Observation Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Collect BOY, MOY, and EOY data on students' performance on AVID skills.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provided social and academic supports for students in grades 3-5 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AVID-trained staff	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 5 - School Processes & Programs 3 Funding Sources: Instructional materials - 199 - General Funds: SCE - \$5,000				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: Collect parent and teacher surveys on the implementation of AVID in December and May.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create a culture and community focused on future-readiness for all students.		Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, teachers, and support staff				
Title I: 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: The diverse student needs have increased the number of students needing targeted support to close gaps. **Root Cause**: The high mobility rate of students negatively impacts the ability to build relationships and complete the cycle of intervention support with scholars.

State Compensatory

Budget for Townsell Elementary School

Total SCE Funds: \$36,360.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 1

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Instructional Aide Salary and instructional materials

Personnel for Townsell Elementary School

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Andrea Morris	Instructional Paraprofessional	1

Title I

1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Campus Leadership Team reviewed summative data in June 2022

CIP Committee met in August 2022 to review the previous plan and goals

Goals were presented to the entire teaching staff in August 2022

Strategies were determined collaboratively during the BOY Campus Professional Learning Week

2. Campus Improvement Plan

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

Feedback was received from all stakeholders to develop the CIP based on multiple sources of data to determine campus needs.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The campus improvement plan is reviewed 4 times a year in November, February, April, and June by the CIC committee. The committee meets routinely to review and make revisions to the CIP based on needs and data.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

A paper copy of the plan is located in the Campus Front Office and Library in multiple languages.

The CIP is shared in the monthly parent newsletter via School Messenger, and parents can request a copy in the front office or view it online.

3. Annual Evaluation

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

Data will be collected throughout the school year to assess our progress on CIP goals and determine the need for revisions.

4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

Campus Leadership collaborates with the Parent Liaison, parents, and community partners to create the Parent and Family Engagement Policy. It is provided to stakeholders in English and Spanish.

The Annual Title I Meetings will occur on:

- Tuesday, September 27, 2022, from 5:30 6:00 pm
- Thursday, September 29, 2022, from 5:00 5:30 pm

The Parent and Family Engagement Policy and Parent Compact is distributed to parents at the meeting and sent home with students. It is also sent to parents in the monthly newsletter via School Messenger.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

Please see Title1Crate for the following documentation.

5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only

5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy

Not applicable for all of Irving because we are not targeted for assistance (federal and lower than 40% low SES).

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Deyanira Valdez	Parent Liaison	Parent Involvement	1
Saudekesha Cummings	Intervention Specialist	Math Intervention	1
Tracy Williams	Intervention Specialist	Reading Intervention	1

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Team

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Amber Brooks	Principal
Administrator	Pamela Mccray	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Jon Huricks	Assistant Principal
Parent	Yara Salhi	Parent
Parent	Claudia Gomez	Parent
Business Representative	Karla Schmidt	Horace Mann
Classroom Teacher	Emily Edwards	2nd Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Elizabeth Lawson	Parent
Classroom Teacher	Michelle Gilmore	
Classroom Teacher	Sarah White	Kinder Teacher
Parent	Princess Bernard	Parent
District-level Professional	Elaina Richardson	MTSS Academic Lead
Paraprofessional	Ana Gonzalez	Secretary
Community Representative	Tirsza Brooks	Community Banking Manager
Business Representative	Mike Luckett	Business Owner
Community Representative	Kyla Mikusek	Community Outreach
Community Representative	Harry Smith	Pastor

Campus Funding Summary

			199 - General Funds		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	1	Supplies		\$2,000.00
1	4	1	Instructional supplies and displays		\$2,000.00
1	4	2	Progress Learning and Instructional Supplies		\$5,500.00
2	1	1	Math manipulatives		\$5,000.00
2	1	2	Progress Learning and Instructional Materials		\$5,500.00
2	2	2	Instructional Materials and Professional Development		\$10,000.00
3	1	2	Professional Development		\$2,500.00
4	1	1	Incentives and celebrations		\$5,000.00
5	1	1	Professional Development and Team Building		\$10,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$47,500.00
			199 - General Funds: SCE	·	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
5	3	1	Instructional materials		\$5,000.00
Sub-Total				Sub-Total	\$5,000.00
			211 - Title I-A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	1	1	Instructional Materials for Organization, Reading, and Writing		\$10,000.00
			•	Sub-Total	\$10,000.00